

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/769,935	01/25/2001	Jeremiah G. Reyes	381-23-005	5491
75	590 06/04/2002			
KOPPEL & JACOBS			EXAMINER	
SUITE 107			DINH, TUAN T	
555 ST. CHAR				
THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91360			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2827	
			DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002	<u>}</u>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	•	09/769,935 REYES ET AL.			
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	-	Tuan T Dinh	2827	W	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		t with the correspondence addres	SS	
	od for Reply				
•	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reference of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, manager of the statutory minimum of	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this commone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	28 February 2002 .			
2	a) This action is FINAL 2b)	This action is non-final.			
	3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal	matters, prosecution as to the n	nerits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice unc		J C.D. 11, 433 O.G. 210.		
	4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the applica				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration	1.		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
_	8) Claim(s) <u>1-51</u> are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.			
Ap	plication Papers	ninor			
	9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ a		by the Examiner.		
·	Applicant may not request that any objection t				
	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required i				
	12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the				
	ority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been receive	d.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docur				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internations * See the attached detailed Office action for a	priority documents have al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	been received in this National Society.	tage	
	14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor			application).	
	a) The translation of the foreign languag 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	e provisional application	has been received.		
	achment(s)	•			
1)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	8) 5) 🗖 No	terview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(sotice of Informal Patent Application (PTO ther:		

Application/Control Number: 09/769,935

Art Unit: 2827

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Specie II (figures 8-12, claims 15-17, 19-27, and 32-51) in Paper No. 10 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the searches for Species (I-IV) would be co-extensive. This is not found persuasive because the Species (I, III-IV) would be different embodiment than Specie II.

Interview with Mr. Jaye G. Heybl on May 28, 2002 that claims 15-17, and 19-20, which are depend on claim 1 (not elected claim), are withdrawn as being drawn to non-elected claims, but also claims 24-27 are depend on claim 1 (non-elected claim). By confusing which claims verify to read on species from last office action, examiner request to have another restriction requirement for further consideration. The restriction are follow such as:

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Specie I Figure 2.

Specie II Figure 4.

Specie III Figure 6.

Specie IV Figure 8.

Specie V Figure 11.

Specie VI Figure 13.

Specie VII Figure 14.

Application/Control Number: 09/769,935

Art Unit: 2827

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims are not generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/769,935

Art Unit: 2827

- 3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan T Dinh whose telephone number is 703-306-5856. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David L. Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-1341 for regular communications and 703-305-1341 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

TD May 30, 2002

KAMAND CLINEO PRIMARY &